



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/629,207      | 07/29/2003  | Johathan Lee         | 13935US02           | 5674             |

23446 7590 07/11/2006

MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD  
500 WEST MADISON STREET  
SUITE 3400  
CHICAGO, IL 60661

EXAMINER

RAHMAN, FAHMIDA

|          |              |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

2116

DATE MAILED: 07/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|                              |                               |                            |  |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| <b>Office Action Summary</b> | Application No.<br>10/629,207 | Applicant(s)<br>LEE ET AL. |  |
|                              | Examiner<br>Fahmida Rahman    | Art Unit<br>2116           |  |

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 April 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 and 25-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 and 25-28 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 29 July 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☒ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)             | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)    | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This final action is in response to communications filed on 4/28/2006.
2. Claims 1, 25, 27 have been amended and claims 14-24 have been cancelled.

Thus, claims 1-13, 25-28 are pending.

### **Oath/Declaration**

The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because it does not include the signature of first and third inventors.

### **Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102**

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mills et al (US Patent 6795450).

For claim 25, Mills et al teach the following limitations:

Art Unit: 2116

A method for optimizing power consumption (**line 47 of column 10**) in a communication system (**lines 44-50 of column 10; Fig 1**) comprising:

- **detecting an amount of traffic** (lines 65-67 of column 9), **a link** (342 of Figure 3 checks if LinkOK. Thus, the system checks the link), **an absence of AC power** (line 30 of column 14 mention that PHY enters 300 following power on. Thus, the absence of AC power is detected) **and a power state** (300, 318, 338 – both are different power states) **of the communication system;**
- **and selecting at least one power management state from a plurality of power management states based at least in part in said detection** (lines 44-58 of column 10).

For claim 26, note lines 44-50 of column 10 of Mills et al.

### **Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103**

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8, 10-11, 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mills et al (US Patent 6795450), in view of Cruz (US Patent Application Publication 2003/0126482).

Art Unit: 2116

For claim 1, Mills et al teach the following limitations:

**A method for optimizing power consumption** (line 47 of column 10) **in a communication system** (lines 44-50 of column 10; Fig 1) **comprising a network interface and a host computer** (lines 39-41 of column 11 mention that the invention is applicable for network nodes. Lines 45-60 of column 11 mention that the network device is used to refer to a computer linked to a network via network interface card), **the method comprising:**

- **determining, by the network interface, at least one power mode of the host computer from a plurality of possible power modes** (lines 45-50 of column 10 mention that the network nodes may be "idle" or have entered "sleep" or "suspended" mode, when communication between a node and a hub is limited. Lines 60-65 of column 9 mention that the network may have full power operation mode for supporting full high bandwidth communication. Thus, the network interface can determine a power mode among a plurality of possible modes, such as "full power/operational" or "low power/WOL", based on amount of communication);
- **and selecting, by the network interface, at least one network interface power management state from a plurality of possible power management states based on the at least one power mode determined** (lines 47-49 of column 13 and lines 54-56 of column 13 mention that the network interface NIC can be ACPI/WOL capable in some cases. Thus, the power management scheme of NIC may adopt ACPI or WOL. Lines 50-53 of column 8 mention the

four power states of ACPI. Thus, the NIC may select one of D0-D3 power management states of ACPI for power consumption for plurality of power modes, such as, "idle", "fully operational" or "WOL" based on amount of communication, as described in lines 44-50 of column 10).

However, Mills et al do not teach whether the host computer is using battery power and the power management state is partly based on whether the host computer is using battery power.

Cruz teaches a system where determination is made whether host is using battery power (lines 45-48 of [0019] of page 2 mentions that the power source may change from battery to AC. Thus, it is determined whether the system is using battery or AC) and the power management is based on whether the computer is using battery power (lines 32-35 of [0019] of page 2 mention that nodes can generate or receive "wakeup" events, such as, changes in node battery state. Thus, wakeup comprises the determination whether host is using battery power. Wakeup is a part of power management and hence, the power management is partly based on whether the computer is using battery power).

It would have been obvious for one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Mills et al and Cruz. One ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to determine if host is using battery power, since having the capability to make the selection between battery and AC source is a desirable feature

Art Unit: 2116

(lines 45-48 of page 2) and to manage power based on whether host is using battery, since wake up events comprises changes in node battery states. If node battery state changes require system be wake up, the host power management policy must take that into account.

For claim 2, lines 61-66 of column 9 of Mills et al mention that the network can have full power and low power operation mode. Lines 20-21 of column 10 mention that the network nodes may be LS, sleep, WOL or suspend. Additionally, an ACPI compliant system supports power management state D0, where the LAN is fully operational with high power mode, D3 cold, where the system is fully powered down with all functional units are non-operational, D3 hot or WOL state, where some parts are powered to wake up on demand. The power modes of the system can be "fully operational" or "full power", "fully powered down", "WOL".

For claim 3, the system of Mills et al supports the link suspend mode, which requires even less power than standard idle mode. Lines 57-63 of column 17 mention that the LS mode is applicable to any low power mode, such as D1, D2, WOL. Thus, the WOL mode can be divided into two modes: WOL with LS mode or WOL without LS mode. In LS mode, the invention maintains a link without causing it to reset, while cycling transmitter power on and off (lines 5-10 of column 10). The four power modes are "fully powered", "fully powered down", "WOL with LS", "WOL without LS".

Art Unit: 2116

For claims 4 and 5, lines 54-56 of column 13 and lines 29-35 of Mills et al mention that the system improves implementation of a PC's ACPI implementation. Since, ACPI supports D0-D3 power management states, the ACPI compatible PCs in LAN can provide four power management states: fully operational D0, in between power management states D1-D2, Wake On LAN D3 hot, "fully powered down" in D3 cold. This invention also provides two types of idle state: standard Idle or Link Suspend Idle as shown in Fig 3.

For claim 6, lines 60-65 of column 9 of Mills et al mention that the invention provides "full power mode" for supporting full communication and low power mode when limited communication is occurring. Lines 45-50 of column 10 mention that power can be saved by switching from full power protocol to low power protocol. Thus, determining at least one power mode comprises determining at least one power management mode.

For claim 7, lines 60-65 of column 9 of Mills et al mention that the power mode is based on amount of communication.

For claim 8, lines 60-65 of column 9 of Mills et al mention that the full power mode supports full high bandwidth communication. Lines 23-26 of column 4 mention that the speed of the link is set up by auto-negotiation to set up the operation mode of the communications link. Thus, the communication device operates at a frequency supporting high bandwidth transmission.

Art Unit: 2116

For claim 10, lines 10-15 of column 5 of Mills et al mention that the 100BASE-TX will reconfigure it to lower 10BASE-T. Thus, the throughput is reduced when a high capacity PHY is connected to a low capacity PHY.

For claim 11, lines 10-15 of column 5 of Mills et al mention that the 100BASE-TX will reconfigure it to lower 10BASE-T. The highest common operational mode is chosen. Thus, the throughput is reduced when a high capacity PHY is connected to a low capacity PHY. Since, the invention is applicable to 1000BASE-T, the throughput would be reduced to 1000BASE-T to 10BASE-T when 1000BASE-T would be connected to 10Base-T.

For claim 27, Mills et al teach the following limitations:

**A system for optimizing power consumption** (line 47 of column 10) **in a communication system** (lines 44-50 of column 10; Fig 1) **used in an Gigabit Ethernet environment** (Lines 18-20 of column 12 mention that the invention is for fast Ethernet. Lines 25-26 of column 12 mention that the concept is expandable to similar other local area network such as 1000BASE-T, which is a Gigabit transmission. Thus, the invention supports Gigabit Ethernet transmission) **comprising:**

- **a PHY (124) adapted to detect at least one host power mode from a plurality of possible host power modes** (full power or low power based on amount of communication, as mentioned in lines 61-66 of column 9)

- **and select, based the host power mode detected, at least one power management state from a plurality of power management states for operation of the system** (lines 45-50 of column 10).
- **and a MAC interfacing with at least said PHY** (Fig 1).

However, Mills et al do not teach whether the host computer is using battery power and the power management state is partly based on whether the host computer is using battery power.

Cruz teaches a system where determination is made whether host is using battery power (lines 45-48 of [0019] of page 2 mentions that the power source may change from battery to AC. Thus, it is determined whether the system is using battery or AC) and the power management is based on whether the computer is using battery power (lines 32-35 of [0019] of page 2 mention that nodes can generate or receive "wakeup" events, such as, changes in node battery state. Thus, wakeup comprises the determination whether host is using battery power. Wakeup is a part of power management and hence, the power management is partly based on whether the computer is using battery power).

It would have been obvious for one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Mills et al and Cruz. One ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to determine if host is using battery power, since having the capability to make the selection between battery and AC source is a desirable feature

Art Unit: 2116

(lines 45-48 of page 2) and to manage power based on whether host is using battery, since wake up events comprises changes in node battery states. If node battery state changes require system be wake up, the host power management policy must take that into account.

For claim 28, note line 27 of column 3, which mention that the PHY can be implemented as a multi-channel device on a chip.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mills et al (US Patent 6795450), in view of Cruz (US Patent Application Publication 2003/0126482), further in view of applicant's admission of prior art.

Mills et al and Cruz do not teach that the frequency to be 62.5 MHz. Applicant mentions that the frequency 62.5 MHz is used to support 1000BASE-T in [39] of page 11. Since, the invention of Mills et al is applicable to 1000BASE-T, the frequency should be 62.5 MHz.

Claims 12, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mills et al (US Patent 6795450), in view of Cruz (US Patent Application Publication 2003/0126482), further in view of Yamamoto et al (US Patent 5778237).

Art Unit: 2116

For claims 12, 13, Mills et al and Cruz do not teach reducing clock for power management.

Yamamoto et al teach that the clock is reduced to 6.25 MHz in a power management state (lines 5-15 of column 1; Fig 9B).

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Mills et al, Cruz and Yamamoto et al. One ordinary skill would be motivated to reduce clock speed to 6.25 MHz, since 10BASE-T can support such clock speed. The clock reducing mechanism is widely used in the art for reducing power consumption.

### **Response to Arguments**

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8, 10-11, 27-28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 25-26 have been considered but are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Mills et al do not teach detecting an amount of traffic, absence of AC power, power state, link of the communication system.

Examiner disagrees. Since Mills et al is providing power management system, it has to determine the power state of the communication system. The system determines an absence of AC power since the system can determine a power on event. In addition, the

system can detect the link, as 342 shows the check if the link is OK. Mills et al further teach the detection of amount of traffic as line 63 of column 9 mentions that the network supports full high bandwidth communication and limited communication. Thus, amount of traffic is detected.

### **Conclusion**

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fahmida Rahman whose telephone number is 571-272-8159. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 - 5:30.

Art Unit: 2116

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne Browne can be reached on 571-272-3670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Fahmida Rahman  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2116

  
**LYNNE H. BROWNE**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**  
**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100**